

Safety and Justice Challenge

Annual SJC Survey What We Learned from You in 2018

Presentation for SJC Stakeholders

June 2019

Megan Comfort, Monica Sheppard, Debbie Dawes, Matthew DeMichele, and Pam Lattimore

> Division for Applied Justice Research RTI International

What We Will Cover

- Purpose
- Methods
- Results and Discussion
 - SJC Implementation Teams
 - SJC Implementation Experiences
 - SJC Implementation Challenges
 - Experiences with Reducing Racial and Ethnic Disparities
 - Perceptions about Community Engagement
 - Overall Impressions of the SJC
- Webinar Wrap-up

Learn about the SJC implementation phase activities, challenges, and experiences from the perspective of local stakeholders in 19 SJC sites from the first and second cohorts


Methods: Web Survey Administration

- Stakeholder list developed with help from site coordinators (N = 237)
- 2018 recruitment process and administration
 - 9/19: Survey opened & link emailed to stakeholders
 - 11/5: Survey closed
 - 183 survey responses (77% participation rate)
- RTI aggregated responses across the implementation sites to ensure confidentiality of respondents

Implementation Experiences

Pace of implementation Impressions of implementation of SJC strategies

Stakeholders' SJC Implementation Experiences: 2018



Stakeholders' SJC Implementation Experiences: 2018




Collaboration among key partners in my jurisdiction has helped with the implementation of our strategies.

Receiving technical assistance from our site coordinator has helped our SJC strategies move forward.

Receiving technical assistance from other SJC partners who are not our site coordinator has helped our strategies move forward.

We have had more challenges with SJC strategy implementation than we originally expected.




Status of SJC Strategies


Please think of the strategies that are being implemented in your jurisdiction and indicate the current status of each one according to the decision point or topic to which it corresponds. The status indicators are as follows:

- Not started/planning: no implementation has started, and the strategy is still in the planning stages
- On hold: your jurisdiction has paused planning or implementation of the strategy
- In progress: implementation is in progress, but the strategy is not fully operational
- Fully implemented: the strategy is fully operational

Status of SJC Strategies: September-October 2018




Status of SJC Strategies: September-October 2018




Challenges

Please indicate how much of a challenge each of the following are to the success of implementing your SJC strategies.



Local political climate	18	61	21					
Lack of services, service capacity to meet needs of target population	18	52	30					
Lack of engagement, resistance from important partner organizations	18	51	31					
Requirements of the implementation grant	15	54	30					
0	%	20% 40% 60%	80% 100%					
Very challenging Somewhat/Moderately challenging Not at all challenging								

Turnover of staff/leadership critical to the implementation effort	13	47	40					
Need for clearer, more timely communication from the MacArthur Foundation	13	29	58					
Need for more local communication about SJC	11	53	36					
goals and activities								
	10	40						
Need for stronger local SJC leadership	10	48	41					
0	%	20% 40%	60% 80% 100%					
 Very challenging Somewhat/Moderately challenging Not at all challenging 								



Reducing Racial and Ethnic Disparities

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about racial and ethnic disparities in your jurisdiction.

Understanding of Racial and Ethnic Disparities

I feel like I understand what racial and ethnic disparity is.	3		9)7		
As part of my role on the SJC, I am one of the people who is responsible for focusing on reducing racial and ethnic disparities in my jurisdiction's criminal justice system.	22			79		
Racial and ethnic disparities are NOT a problem in my ORGANIZATION			73		28	
Racial and ethnic disparities are NOT a problem in my JURISDICTION	96					4
C ■ Strongly disagree/Disag		20% Strongl	40% y agree/A	60% gree	80%	100%

Reducing Racial and Ethnic Disparities


In my jurisdiction, reducing racial and ethnic disparities is as important a part of the SJC as reducing the jail population.

The SJC strategies that my jurisdiction is implementing will reduce racial and ethnic disparities in our criminal justice system.

All agencies in my jurisdiction that are participating in the SJC are united in working to reduce racial and ethnic disparities.

Improvements could be made in the degree to which my jurisdiction is working toward reducing racial and ethnic disparities.

Strongly disagree/Disagree




Data on Racial and Ethnic Disparities

Since the SJC, more data on racial and ethnic disparities have become available in my jurisdiction's criminal justice system.

Having the SJC data on racial and ethnic disparities in my jurisdiction has made conversations about criminal justice reform MORE DIFFICULT.

Having the SJC data on racial and ethnic disparities in my jurisdiction has made conversations about criminal justice reform EASIER.

Having the SJC data on racial and ethnic disparities in my jurisdiction has been helpful for advancing criminal justice reform.



Community Engagement

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about community engagement in your jurisdiction.

Defining Community and Community Engagement

My jurisdiction has a clear understanding of how to define "the community" or "communities" when it comes to criminal justice reform.


The SJC implementation team in my jurisdiction has a clear understanding of what constitutes community engagement.

My jurisdiction has developed a successful plan for engaging the community in the SJC.

Community engagement is a shared responsibility among the SJC implementation team in my jurisdiction.

There is sufficient institutional or organizational support (e.g., buy-in, funding, resources) for the SJC implementation team to conduct community engagement in my jurisdiction.

Strongly disagree/Disagree



Perceptions about Community Engagement

Members of the community in my jurisdiction who know about the SJC are supportive of it.

Community members' ideas for implementing SJC strategies in my jurisdiction have been incorporated into plans or put into action.

Community members have a role in decision making about one or more SJC strategies in my jurisdiction.

Figuring out how to engage the community in the SJC has been a struggle in my jurisdiction.


Community engagement in the SJC has been a source of tension in my jurisdiction.

12 88 37 63 42 51 **49** 72 28 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Strongly agree/Agree Strongly disagree/Disagree


Implementation Teams

For the purpose of the following items, please think about your jurisdiction's SJC core or implementation team. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements.


SJC Planning and Implementation Teams



SJC Planning and Implementation Teams




SJC Planning and Implementation Teams





SJC Implementation Phase Survey Results


Overall Impressions











THANK YOU!

Megan Comfort mcomfort@rti.org (415) 848-1375